

# Duality of matrix pencils, singular pencils and linearizations

Vanni Noferini<sup>1</sup>    Federico Poloni<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester

<sup>2</sup>Dept of Computer Science, Università di Pisa

2013 ILAS Meeting  
Providence, RI, 2013

# Outline

- An old tool and a new one
  - ▶ Wong chains
  - ▶ Duality
- Applications
  - ▶ Symplectic/Hamiltonian pencils
  - ▶ Fiedler linearizations
  - ▶  $\mathbb{L}_1$  linearizations

## Why Wong chains

Multiple eigenvectors are ill-defined:

$$Aw = 0$$

$$A(v + \alpha w) = 0$$

Jordan chains are ill-defined:

$$Aw = 0$$

$$A(v + \alpha w) = w$$

Eigenvectors of singular pencils are ill-defined:

$$(L_1 x + L_0)w = 0 \quad \forall x$$

$$(L_1 \lambda + L_0)(v + \alpha w) = 0$$

Things are **more complicated** for longer singular chains + Jordan chains

# So, what's well defined?

Subspaces!

Wong chains [Wong KT, '74]

Wong chain for  $L_1x + L + 0$  attached to  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \{0\}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{k+1} = (L_1\lambda + L_0)^{-1}(L_1\mu + L_0)\mathcal{W}_k$$

for any  $\mu \neq \lambda$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  (evaluation suitably defined at  $\infty$ )

Pencil generalization of the usual algorithm to compute Jordan chains.

$\mathcal{W}_1 = \text{span}\{\text{first vectors of each eigvl-}\lambda \text{ and singular Kronecker chain}\}$

$\mathcal{W}_2 = \text{span}\{\text{first two vectors of each eigvl-}\lambda \text{ and singular chain}\}$

... and so on

# Duality

New tool [Noferini, P., submitted]

## Definition

$R_1x + R_0$  **right dual** of  $L_1x + L_0$  if

$$\ker \begin{bmatrix} L_1 & L_0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} R_0 \\ -R_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Symmetric version:  $\begin{bmatrix} L_1 & L_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ , maximal ranks

**Why useful?** Like inverses or matrix functions, “transform” Kronecker invariants without computing them

Used implicitly in doubling algorithms, never a first-class citizen though

# Duality and Kronecker form

## Theorem

The Kronecker forms of  $L_1x + L_0$  and its right dual  $R_1x + R_0$  are related:

$L_1x + L_0$	$R_1x + R_0$
any regular block	same block
$(k - 1) \times k$ singular	$k \times (k + 1)$ singular
$(k + 1) \times k$ singular	$k \times (k - 1)$ singular
$1 \times 0$ singular	nothing
nothing	$0 \times 1$ singular

# Example

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc|c} \boxed{\begin{matrix} \lambda - s & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda - s \end{matrix}} & & \boxed{\begin{matrix} s & 1 \end{matrix}} & & \\ & & & \boxed{\begin{matrix} s \\ 1 & s \\ & & 1 \end{matrix}} & \\ & & & & \end{array} \right] \quad \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc|c} \boxed{\begin{matrix} \lambda - s & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda - s \end{matrix}} & & \boxed{\begin{matrix} s & 1 \\ & s & 1 \end{matrix}} & & \\ & & & \boxed{\begin{matrix} s \\ & & 1 \end{matrix}} & \\ & & & & \end{array} \right]$$

# How things change under duality

## Theorem

$M(x)$  minimal basis of  $R(x) \implies \frac{R(\mu)}{x - \mu} M(x)$  minimal basis of  $L(x)$

For all  $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $L(x)$  and  $R(x)$  dual

## Theorem

$\mathcal{W}_k$  Wong chain at  $\lambda$  for  $R(x) \implies \frac{R(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \mathcal{W}_k$  Wong chain at  $\lambda$  for  $L(x)$

For all  $\mu \neq \lambda$ ,  $L(x)$  and  $R(x)$  dual

Everything works well “projectively” for  $\infty$

Results for Wong chains imply easily results for eigenvectors, Jordan chains



# Structured pencils

Definition [Lin, Mehrmann, Xu '99]

$S(x) = S_1x + S_0 \in \mathbb{C}[x]^{2n \times 2n}$  **symplectic** if  $S_1JS_1^* = S_0JS_0^*$ ,  $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$J(S_0^*x + S_1^*)$  **right dual** of  $S(x)$ . Results above give immediately:

- Eigenvalue pairing  $(\lambda, 1/\lambda^*)$  under general hypotheses
- **Constraints on singular blocks**: right min. indices = left m.i. - 1

Analogous results for Hamiltonian pencils  $H_1JH_0^* = -H_0JH_1^*$

## Recap definitions

Given grade- $d$  matrix polynomial  $A(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d A_i x^i$ , **1<sup>st</sup> companion form**

$$C(x) := \begin{bmatrix} A_d x + A_{d-1} & A_{d-2} & A_{d-1} & \cdots & A_0 \\ I & -Ix & & & \\ & I & -Ix & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & I & -Ix \end{bmatrix}$$

It is a **strong linearization**, i.e., (recall Fernando's talk)

- same eigenvalues and **partial multiplicities** as  $A(x)$
- same  $\dim \ker_{\mathbb{C}(x)} A(x)$  and  $\dim \ker_{\mathbb{C}(x)} A(x)^T$   
(fixes **number**, but **not size**, of singular Kronecker blocks)

**Minimal basis:** minimal-degree polynomial basis of  $\ker_{\mathbb{C}(x)} A(x)$

## Fiedler pencils

For a grade- $d$  matrix polynomial, one can construct special matrices  $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_d \in \mathbb{C}^{nd \times nd}$  such that

$$sF_d - F_{\sigma(0)}F_{\sigma(1)} \cdots F_{\sigma(d-1)}$$

is a strong linearization for any permutation  $\sigma$   
(choosing  $\sigma(i) = d - i$  gives 1<sup>st</sup> companion form)

Proofs:

- [Antoniou, Vologiannidis '04] regular case
- [De Terán, Dopico, Mackey '09] singular case (more complicated)

We can make things simpler using **duality**

### Same plan as above

- show that what is done in the regular case is **duality**
- duality works also for singular case, results come without effort

# Proving that Fiedlers are linearizations

Regular case: [Antoniou, Vologiannidis '04]

Idea: reduce to 1<sup>st</sup> companion form

- 1 Start from the first companion form, a known linearization

$$F_{10}x + F_9F_8F_7F_6F_5F_4F_3F_2F_1F_0$$

- 2 Prove (using regularity) that it stays a linearization after swapping blocks of “descending”  $F_i$

$$F_{10}x + F_6F_5F_4F_3F_2F_1F_0F_9F_8F_7$$

- 3 Repeat! Thanks to commutation properties, it's enough to work with “block descending” sequences

$$F_{10}x + F_1F_0F_5F_4F_3F_2F_6F_9F_8F_7$$

Singular case: point 2 is a duality, so everything works verbatim!

# Minimal bases, Wong chains and eigenvectors

Theorem [De Terán, Dopico, Mackey '09] (reworded)

(m.i. in a Fiedler pencil) = (m.i. in companion) – (number of swaps)

Similarly, using formulas for minimal bases / Wong chains:

Let  $c_1, \dots, c_t$  block ends for  $F(x)$ , set  $F_{j:i} := F_{j-1}F_{j-2} \cdots F_i$

- $\mu = 0$ :  $T_0 = F_{c_1:0}F_{c_2:0} \cdots F_{c_t:0}$

$M(x)$  m.b. for companion  $\implies \frac{1}{x^t} T_0 M(x)$  m.b. for  $F(x)$

$\mathcal{W}_k$  Wong chain for companion,  $\lambda \neq 0 \implies T_0 \mathcal{W}_k$  W.c. for  $F(x)$

- $\mu = \infty$ :  $T_\infty = F_{d:c_t}^{-1} F_d F_{d:c_{t-1}}^{-1} F_d \cdots F_{d:c_1}^{-1} F_d$

$M(x)$  m.b. for companion  $\implies T_\infty M(x)$  m.b. for  $F(x)$

$\mathcal{W}_k$  Wong chain for companion,  $\lambda \neq \infty \implies T_\infty \mathcal{W}_k$  W.c. for  $F(x)$

## $\mathbb{L}_1$ spaces

Let  $B$  span  $\ker [A_d \ A_{d-1} \ \cdots \ A_0]$ ; a right dual of the 1<sup>st</sup> companion is

$$D(x) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x & & & \\ & 1 & -x & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & -x \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_n \right) B$$

[Mackey<sup>2</sup>, Mehl, Mehrmann '06] introduced a space  $\mathbb{L}_1$  of pencils  $L(x)$  that satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_1 & L_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{nd} \\ -I_{nd} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_1 \\ D_0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Almost the definition of duality, missing rank condition on  $\begin{bmatrix} L_1 & L_0 \end{bmatrix}$

## Relaxing the full $Z$ -rank condition

... then they introduce an additional condition (**full  $Z$ -rank**) which makes them strong linearizations

### Theorem (part [M<sup>4</sup>], part new)

$A(x)$  **regular** matrix polynomial. For  $L(x)$  in  $\mathbb{L}_1$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1 strong linearization of  $A(x)$
- 2 regular pencil
- 3 full  $Z$ -rank
- 4 left dual of  $D(x)$  **new!**
- 5 no common left kernel for  $L_1, L_0$  **new! (simplest to check)**

If  $A(x)$  singular,  $3 \implies 4 \implies 1$

(but not the other way round, counterexamples)

# Conclusions

Why is duality cool?

- natural concept, makes many relations explicit
- allows to **play with minimal indices** without computing them
- simplifies proofs: singular cases often **come for free**
- suggests new view and new linearizations



# Conclusions

Why is duality cool?

- natural concept, makes many relations explicit
- allows to **play with minimal indices** without computing them
- simplifies proofs: singular cases often **come for free**
- suggests new view and new linearizations

Thanks for your attention

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_1 & L_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_0 \end{bmatrix}$$